



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,023	10/665,023 09/22/2003		Naomi Watanabe	NFA-0202 5695	
23353	7590 05/25/2004 EXAMINER				
RADER FI		GRAUER PLL	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, THONG Q	
		W., SUITE 501	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 2	20036		2872	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/665,023	WATANABE, NAOMI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thong Q Nguyen	2872			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r election requirement. f. ire: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings contain twenty-two sheets of figures 1-22B were received on 9/22/2003. These drawings are approved by the Examiner.

Specification

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of it contains more than one paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

5. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,023 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In page 7: on line 8, "Part II" should be changed to –Part IV—(see figure 3); and in page 8, on line 5, "Part XIV" should be changed to –Part XV—(see figure 14). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

7. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities. Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 1: on line 5, "systems" should be changed to -system--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hotta et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,576,892) in view of Goto (Japanese reference No. 52-66446).

Hotta et al disclose a zooming binocular. It is noted that while Hotta et al do not clearly state that the binocular comprises a pair of monocular or telescope connected together via a bridge; however, such a structure is well known to one skilled in the art. The system as described in columns 3-4 and shown in figures 1-2 comprises an objective lens system (6) for forming an inverted image, a pair of prism system (7) for erecting the inverted image, a negative lens element (5) movable along the optical axis of the system for varying the magnification, an

Art Unit: 2872

eyepiece lens system, a field stop (4) movable for the purpose of providing a clear field of view without a dimmed circumference; and an eyepiece lens system (3,2) having at least one lens component movable along the optical axis for the purpose of varying the magnification. It is also noted that the eyepiece lens system comprises one component in the form of a cemented/combined lens, and the movement of the eyepiece lens system and the movement of the field stop are made so that the focus of the eyepiece lens system can be adjusted with the movement of the focus of the objective lens system. In columns 4-5., Hotta et al disclose a lens barrel having a drive mechanism for moving the adjusting negative lens (50 and the at least one lens component (3) of the eyepiece lens system during a zooming process. The only feature missing from the binocular provided by Hotta et al is tat they do not disclose that the whole eyepiece lens system is able to move during a zooming process.

However, the movement of the whole eyepiece lens system in an optical device is suggested to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the system provided by Goto. In particular, Goto disclose the use of a zoom mechanism which moves the whole eyepiece along an optical axis for the purpose of compensating the variation in the visibility at the time of zooming. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the binocular provided by Hotta et al by moving the whole eyepiece lens system as suggested by Goto for the purpose of compensating the variation in the visibility at the time of zooming.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,023 Page 5

Art Unit: 2872

Conclusion

10. The additional references are cited as of interest in that each discloses an optical device having a zoom eyepiece lens system.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong Q Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2316. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thong Q Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2872